

Numerical Solution of the High Frequency Asymptotic Expansion for the Scalar Wave Equation

E. FATEMI, B. ENGQUIST, AND S. OSHER*

Department of Mathematics, University of California, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555

Received April 1, 1993; revised February 6, 1995

New numerical methods are derived for calculation of high frequency asymptotic expansion of the scalar wave equation. The nonlinear partial differential equations defining the terms in the expansion are approximated directly rather than via ray tracing. High resolution numerical algorithms are used to handle discontinuities and new devices are introduced to represent the multivalued character of the solution. © 1995 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

High frequency solutions of different types of the wave equation arise in many applications including scattering of electromagnetic waves, acoustics, ultrasound imaging, and geophysical imaging, to name only a few. The numerical method that we shall develop here is for classical high frequency asymptotic expansions of hyperbolic equations. We shall concentrate here on the scalar linear wave equation in two spatial variables,

$$u_{tt} = c^2 \Delta u = c^2(x, y)(u_{xx} + u_{yy}); \tag{1}$$

x and y are the spatial variables, t is time, u is the amplitude of the wave, and $c(x, y)$ is the speed of the wave in the medium. Time harmonic solutions of the wave equation, of the form

$$u(x, y, t) = e^{i\omega t}v(x, y), \tag{2}$$

are of special interest. Here ω is the time frequency of the wave as imposed by the boundary conditions. For time harmonic solutions the wave equation is reduced to the Helmholtz equation,

$$\Delta v + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}v = 0. \tag{3}$$

If we denote the wavelength of the wave by λ then the nondimensional quantity $k^2 = \omega^2/c^2 = 4\pi^2/\lambda^2$ represents the relative

size of the wavelength of the wave with respect to the physical size of the problem. Direct numerical solution of the Helmholtz equation for large values of parameter k is difficult. The fundamental difficulty is the fact that the necessary resolution is proportional to $1/k$. To resolve each wavelength one approximately needs 10 points. For a three-dimensional calculation one needs approximately $O((10k)^3)$ number of points to resolve the solution. Values of $k = 1000$ are common for many applications. We follow a different route based on a classical asymptotic expansion.

High frequency solutions of the scalar wave equation can be approximated by an asymptotic expansion; see, e.g., Luneburg, Kline, and Keller [10, 6, 5, 8, 1, 2]. In this approach the solution to the wave equation is expanded as an exponential function times an infinite series in ω^{-1} . The expansion is substituted in the wave equation and the sequence of coefficients of ω^{-n} are collected and set to zero. This procedure produces an infinite system of nonlinear partial differential equations which with the boundary conditions determine the expansion. The most important term of the expansion is the exponential term. The exponent satisfies the eikonal equation of the geometrical optics for the phase of the wave. The remaining terms represent amplitude and corrections to geometrical optics from finiteness of the frequency.

The correspondence between numerical solutions of the wave equation and the eikonal equation is not straightforward. The wave equation is a linear equation but the eikonal equation is a nonlinear equation. We consider a class of solutions of the eikonal equation known as viscosity solutions [3]. Viscosity solutions of the eikonal equation are unique. Any linear combination of solutions of the wave equation is a solution to the wave equation, but a linear combination of solutions of the eikonal equation does not satisfy the eikonal equation. In order to circumvent this difficulty one has to consider a multivalued phase function for solutions of the eikonal equation. Other classes of solutions for the eikonal equation, not present for the linear equation, are shocks and also expansion waves for the gradient of the solution. Shocks are observed as two opposing waves approach each other and the phase becomes multival-

* This research was supported by ONR Grant N00014-91-J-1034.

ued. Expansion waves are observed in the viscosity solution of the eikonal equation in the regions where geometrical optics predicts a shadow region. Also the asymptotic expansion that we are considering does not necessarily include all solutions of the wave equation. In fact domains with corners or caustics require different expansions in ω [9].

A geometrical theory of diffraction was developed by Keller in [5]. According to this theory the phase is a multivalued function connected through branches. Branches could be surfaces, lines, or points. The branch manifolds are present due to either physical boundaries of the problem, the singularities in the physical boundary, or variation in the index of refraction or its derivatives. All the above singularities are known *a priori* and can be identified for a numerical solution of the problem. In this paper we identify a new kind of branch surface that can be determined only when the eikonal solution is solved. Due to nonuniformity of the index of refraction a singularity could develop in the phase. This situation happens, for example, when a plane wave goes through a convex lens. The singularity is manifested as a discontinuity in the derivative of the phase and is similar to a shock for conservation laws. We identify the shock wave as a branch surface and we develop a numerical method based on shock detection to calculate the branch surface. The information from the branch surface is used to calculate the newly formed phase sheet. Also Keller considers grazing rays produced in the shadow region of a cylinder illuminated by a plane wave. The grazing rays encircle the cylinder in the shadow region. The viscosity solution of the eikonal equation as produced by our numerical procedure is an expansion wave in the shadow region (see Section 7). The expansion wave in that region corresponds to the grazing rays as defined by Keller [5].

The traditional way of solving the eikonal equation is by the method of characteristics which in this context is called ray tracing. In this paper we shall explore the application of the modern high resolution algorithms to directly compute the eikonal equation and the other equations defining the terms in the expansion. These modern techniques allow for accurate representation of singularities which is essential in this application. Independent of our work similar finite difference methods have been applied to solve the eikonal equation [4, 15, 16, 17]. We survey these methods in Section 6. A major part of this paper concerns the approximation of crossing and reflected rays. The standard viscosity solution of the eikonal equation is not enough and a hierarchy of numerical solutions has to be generated. These new functions represent the multivalued character of the solution and are based on singularity detection.

2. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION

It is natural to expand high frequency solutions of the wave equation around zero wavelength. Here we briefly repeat the standard derivation of the asymptotic expansion in order to introduce our notation and to point out important terms. The

solution to the wave equation is expanded in inverse powers of ω in the form

$$u(x, y, t) = e^{i\omega\phi(x,y,t)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(x, y, t)(i\omega)^{-n}, \quad (4)$$

where u is a complex solution of the wave equation, $\phi(x, y, t)$ is the phase of the wave, and v_n are real functions of time and space. The amplitude of the wave is $|u|^2 = v_0^2 + (v_1^2 + 2v_0v_2)\omega^{-2} + O(\omega^{-4})$. By substituting the above expansion in the scalar wave equation and equating the coefficients of different powers of ω , the partial differential equations for the evolution of ϕ , v_0 , v_1 , etc. are derived. The second time derivative of u is simply calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} = & e^{i\omega\phi}[(\phi_t^2 v_0)(i\omega)^2 + (\phi_{tt}v_0 + 2\phi_tv_{0t} + \phi_t^2 v_1)(i\omega) \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\phi_n v_{n+1} + 2\phi_tv_{n+1,t} + \phi_t^2 v_{n+2} + v_{n,tt})(i\omega)^{+n}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Similar expressions for u_{xx} and u_{yy} are obtained by replacing t derivatives by x or y derivatives. Terms of order $(i\omega)^2$ are collected and the result is the eikonal equation,

$$\phi_t^2 v_0 = c^2(\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2)v_0. \quad (6)$$

In general v_0 is not zero and we take the square root of the above equation. Here we choose the positive root,

$$\phi_t = +c(x, y)|\nabla\phi|. \quad (7)$$

Terms of order $(i\omega)$ result in the equation for evolution of v_0 ,

$$2\phi_tv_{0,t} + \phi_{tt}v_0 = c^2(2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla v_0 + v_0\Delta\phi). \quad (8)$$

By collecting terms of order $(i\omega)^{-n}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\phi_tv_{n+1,t} + \phi_{tt}v_{n+1} + v_{n,tt} \\ = c^2(2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla v_{n+1} + v_{n+1}\Delta\phi + \Delta v_n). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

One can solve for ϕ_t and $v_{n,t}$ and obtain an infinite system for evolution of the expansion coefficients,

$$\phi_t = c|\nabla\phi| \quad (10)$$

$$v_{0,t} = c \frac{\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|} \cdot \nabla v_0 + \frac{v_0(-\phi_{tt} + c^2\Delta\phi)}{2c|\nabla\phi|} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+1,t} = & c \frac{\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|} \cdot \nabla v_{n+1} + \frac{v_{n+1}(-\phi_{tt} + c^2\Delta\phi)}{2c|\nabla\phi|} \\ & + \frac{(v_{n,tt} + c^2\Delta v_n)}{2c|\nabla\phi|} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

It is possible to write the equations for evolution of v_n in conservative form by changing the variables. This reformulation for the steady state equation for v_0 is standard and here we extend it for the full time dependent system. Consider the equation for evolution of v_0 . We multiply both sides by v_0 and we obtain

$$(\phi v_0^2)_t = c^2 \nabla \cdot (v_0^2 \nabla \phi). \quad (13)$$

Similarly for higher order terms we multiply both sides by v_{n+1} and we obtain

$$(\phi v_{n+1}^2)_t = c^2 \nabla \cdot (v_{n+1}^2 \nabla \phi) + v_{n+1}(-v_{n,t} + c^2 \Delta v_n). \quad (14)$$

We define the new variables as $w_n = \phi v_n^2 / c^2$, and we derive the transport equations in their conservative form,

$$\phi_t = c |\nabla \phi| \quad (15)$$

$$w_{0,t} = \nabla \cdot \left(c w_0 \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) \quad (16)$$

$$w_{n+1,t} = \nabla \cdot \left(c w_{n+1} \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) + v_{n+1} \left(\Delta v_n - \frac{v_{n,t}}{c^2} \right) \quad (17)$$

$$v_n = c \sqrt{w_n / \phi_t}.$$

The above system consists of the eikonal equation for the phase which is a Hamilton–Jacobi type equation and an infinite hyperbolic system for variables w_n . The system is essentially decoupled, since it can be truncated at any level. The first equation, the eikonal equation, can be solved independently of the others. Once ϕ is obtained, it is used to solve for w_0 . Similarly w_n is obtained using the solution of ϕ and w_{n-1} . The equation for w_n has a forcing term $(\Delta v_n - v_{n,t}/c^2)$ which has to be calculated from the previous term, w_{n-1} . If the previous term w_{n-1} is not twice differentiable in space and time, the forcing term is ambiguous. From a numerical point of view, even for smooth solutions, it is important to calculate the forcing term correctly, otherwise error would spread out to higher order terms. The continuity of the phase across branch surfaces supplied us with the necessary boundary conditions for multivalued solutions of the phase. In general one can substitute the asymptotic expansion of the solution in the boundary conditions for the Helmholtz equation and derive the appropriate boundary conditions for v_n .

3. EIKONAL EQUATION

The first term of the asymptotic expansion is the eikonal equation for the phase of the wave. The eikonal equation can also be derived from the variational problem for light rays. According to the classical geometrical optics light travels from point A to point B along rays, $(x(s), y(s))$, minimizing the func-

tional

$$\int_A^B \frac{1}{c(x, y)} \sqrt{x'(s)^2 + y'(s)^2} ds. \quad (18)$$

The eikonal equation is the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for the above variational problem. The eikonal equation is a first-order nonlinear partial differential equation in three dimensional space and time. In general the solutions of this class of equations are not classical solutions and admit weak solutions. A large class of weak and physically relevant solutions for Hamilton–Jacobi type equations are known as viscosity solutions. In the context of the geometrical optics the viscosity solution corresponds to the phase of the first ray that arrives at that point [7]. To recover all solutions it seems that multivalued viscosity solutions are the appropriate class of solutions. The theory for viscosity solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi type equations is developed in [7, 3]. First-order monotone numerical schemes that produce viscosity solutions were proven to converge by the same authors. In this work we used the higher order numerical methods developed in [14].

The concept of light rays can be used to derive the eikonal equation. It turns out that these rays are nothing but the characteristic lines of the eikonal equation. The characteristic lines can be defined as the integral curves of the following vector field,

$$c \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|}.$$

The characteristic lines are used to determine the boundary conditions for the eikonal equation (also for the transport equations). Let a unit vector normal to the boundary and pointing to the outside of the domain be denoted by \hat{n} . We specify boundary conditions for ϕ if $(\nabla \phi / |\nabla \phi|) \hat{n}$ is positive. If $(\nabla \phi / |\nabla \phi|) \hat{n}$ is negative no boundary conditions are specified.

The eikonal equation is the central part of the asymptotic approximation. In this section we describe two specific solutions of the eikonal equation in one-dimensional space. Our numerical examples include computation of the same problems, but in two-dimensional space. First we consider reflection of a wave from an object and explain how the boundary conditions and the multivalued nature of the phase is handled. Then we consider the problem of creation of discontinuities in the gradient of the solution and how it is used to calculate the multivalued solution after appearance of the singularity.

The boundary conditions in principle could be derived from the boundary conditions on the solution of the Helmholtz equation. For example, to implement the reflecting boundary condition $u = 0$, we consider the solution to be sum of an incident and a reflected wave,

$$u(x, y, t) = u^I - u^R = e^{i\omega \phi^I(x, y, t)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n^I(x, y, t) (i\omega)^{-n} - e^{i\omega \phi^R(x, y, t)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n^R(x, y, t) (i\omega)^{-n}. \quad (19)$$




FIG. 1. Reflection of a 1D wave.

Via some simple algebra we arrive at the following boundary conditions for ϕ and v_n :

$$\phi^l = \phi^R \quad \text{and} \quad v_n^l = v_n^R. \quad (20)$$

To impose the Neumann boundary condition of the form $\nabla u \cdot \hat{n}$ we assume the solution is of the form

$$u(x, y, t) = u^l + u^R, \quad (21)$$

then the same boundary conditions are obtained,

$$\phi^l = \phi^R \quad \text{and} \quad v_n^l = v_n^R. \quad (22)$$

We consider a one-dimensional version of reflection of a plane wave from a plane. The wave is originating from $x = 0$ and reflecting back from $x = 1$ (see Fig. 1). The phase of the incident wave is denoted by ϕ_1 and the phase of the reflected wave by ϕ_2 . The reflected wave, ϕ_2 , satisfies the eikonal equation and the following appropriate boundary condition (note that there is no need for a boundary condition at $x = 1$):

$$\phi_{1,x} = |\phi_{1,x}|, \quad \phi_1(0, t) = tH(t). \quad (23)$$

The reflected wave also satisfies the eikonal equation but a boundary condition at the reflecting surface is needed,

$$\phi_{2,t} = |\phi_{2,t}|, \quad \phi_2(1, t) = \phi_1(1, t). \quad (24)$$

The two problems are coupled only at the boundary of the reflecting surface. The boundary condition simply states the fact that the phase is continuous on a branch surface (here the reflecting surface is the branch surface). Note that the problem is an initial-boundary value problem and the phase has to be defined at time $t = 0$. The phase is not defined in the regions of the space in which there is no wave. Since our boundary conditions imply a zero phase at time zero, we choose the phase to be zero everywhere at time $t = 0$. The explicit time dependent solution of the above problem is

$$\phi_1(x, t) = (t - |x|)H(t - |x|), \quad (25)$$

$$\phi_2(x, t) = (t - |x - 1| - 1)H(t - |x - 1| - 1). \quad (26)$$

The Heaviside function, $H(x)$, is defined as $H(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$ and $H(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$.

Next we consider the problem of branch surfaces that are not known a priori and are calculated as part of the solution. Consider the eikonal equation in the interval $[0, 1]$. We consider two plane waves approaching each other. Appropriate boundary conditions are $\phi(0, t) = tH(t)$ and $\phi(1, t) = tH(t)$. The solution up to time $t = 0.5$ is regular and is explicitly

$$\phi(t, x) = (t - |x|)H(t - |x|) + (t - |x - 1|)H(t - |x - 1|). \quad (27)$$

A singularity is developed in the solution at $t = 0.5$ at point $x = 0.5$. After time 0.5 the viscosity solution as calculated by the numerical method is

$$\phi(t, x) = \max\{(t - |x|)H(t - |x|), (t - |x - 1|)H(t - |x - 1|)\}. \quad (28)$$

At point $x = 0.5$ the derivative of the phase is discontinuous. The singularity is similar to a shock wave for hyperbolic equations. The characteristic lines are directed into the singularity. In fact if we denote the derivative of the phase by $u = \phi_x$, then u satisfies the nonlinear conservation law $u_t - |u|_x = 0$. To keep track of the lost waves we detect the singularity using the direction of $\nabla \phi / |\nabla \phi|$ on two sides of the singular point. We solve a second eikonal equation for the phase of the second wave. The position of the singularity of ϕ_1 is used as position of the boundary for ϕ_2 (see Fig. 2). We use the continuity of the phase at a branch surface to specify the boundary condition,

$$\phi_2(s, t) = \phi_1(s, t), \quad (29)$$

where $s = 0.5$. The solution for ϕ_2 is

$$\phi_2(x, t) = (t - |x - 0.5| - 0.5)H(t - |x - 0.5| - 0.5). \quad (30)$$

Figure 3 is a graph of a computed solution of a similar problem. Our graph is a cross section of the two solutions shown in Figs. 6 and 7.




FIG. 2. Interaction of two waves in 1D.




FIG. 3. Computed solutions of interacting waves.

4. TRANSPORT EQUATIONS

The eikonal equation describes the behavior of the phase in the limit of zero wavelength. The amplitude of the wave at that limit can also be determined using the equation for w_0 . The corrections to the amplitude and to the phase due to finiteness of the wavelength can be determined (formally) by computing the remaining terms of the expansion. The accuracy of the approximation is an open question. The partial differential equations for w_n are hyperbolic equations with nonconstant coefficients and are usually called transport equations since they account for transport of energy.

The transport equations can be written in conservative and nonconservative form. The conservative form is simpler and is more suitable for numerical methods. The equation for the evolution of w_0 in conservative form is

$$w_{0,t} = \nabla \cdot \left(c w_0 \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right), \quad (31)$$

where $w_0 = \phi_t v_0^2 / c^2$. For a time harmonic solution $\phi_t = 1$ and $w_0 = v_0^2 / c^2$. The above equation is interpreted as conservation of energy in a tube of light rays. By a tube of rays we mean an area bounded by the characteristic lines of the eikonal equation. Note that the characteristic lines for the eikonal equation and the transport equations are identical. This fact leads to the conclusion that the boundary conditions for the hyperbolic system and the eikonal equation have to be specified at the same part of the boundary. Note that when we have a branch surface we used continuity of the phase to decide the boundary conditions at the branch surface. Also the boundary conditions for v_n can be calculated by substituting the asymptotic expansion in the boundary conditions of the original problem. For branch surfaces due to corners or singularities in the index of refraction or caustics, the form of the asymptotic is different, but the general principle applies; see [9, 11].

5. NUMERICAL ALGORITHM

Our numerical algorithm is developed based on the recently devised numerical methods for Hamilton–Jacobi type equations and upwind methods for hyperbolic equations. We developed numerical algorithms to solve the equations both in conservative and nonconservative variables. Here we report only on the numerical algorithm developed for the conservative variables. From a numerical and also theoretical point of view it seems to be natural way of writing the equations. We consider only the first three equations of the infinite system for ϕ , w_0 , and w_1 ,

$$\phi_t = c |\nabla \phi| \quad (32)$$

$$w_{0,t} = \nabla \cdot \left(c w_0 \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) \quad (33)$$

$$w_{1,t} = \nabla \cdot \left(c w_1 \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) + v_1 \left(\Delta v_0 - \frac{v_{0,tt}}{c^2} \right) \quad (34)$$

$$v_0 = c \sqrt{w_0 / \phi_t}, \quad v_1 = c \sqrt{w_1 / \phi_t}. \quad (35)$$

We use third-order ENO interpolation and a Godunov type flux to solve the eikonal equation and first-order upwind finite difference methods to solve the transport part [14]. We could also have used high order accurate ENO methods for conservation laws to solve the transport equations (see, e.g., the references in [14].) We use Δx , Δy , and Δt to denote the mesh size. $\phi_{i,j}^n$ is the numerical approximation to the viscosity solution of the eikonal equation,

$$\phi_{ij}^n = \phi(x_i, y_j, t^n) = \phi(i \Delta x, j \Delta y, n \Delta t). \quad (36)$$

Also we use standard notation for forward, backward, and centered differences:

$$D_x^+ \phi_{ij} = \frac{\phi_{i+1,j} - \phi_{i,j}}{\Delta x}, \quad D_x^- \phi_{ij} = \frac{\phi_{i,j} - \phi_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x}, \quad (37)$$

$$D_x^0 \phi_{ij} = \frac{\phi_{i+1,j} - \phi_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta x}.$$

A Godunov type flux with ENO interpolation is used to solve the eikonal equation:

$$\phi_{ij}^{n+1} = \phi_{ij}^n + \Delta t \tilde{G}(D_x^{+ENO} \phi_{ij}, D_x^{-ENO} \phi_{ij}, D_y^{+ENO} \phi_{ij}, D_y^{-ENO} \phi_{ij}). \quad (38)$$

The values of the derivatives, D^{ENO} , are calculated based on third-order interpolation with an adaptive stencil [14]. The numerical flux is calculated based on the exact solution of a Riemann problem for the eikonal equation. The flux is denoted by \tilde{G} and is defined by

$$\tilde{G}(u^+, u^-, v^+, v^-) = \text{ext}_{u \in (u^-, u^+)} \text{ext}_{v \in (v^-, v^+)} H(u, v), \quad (39)$$

where $H(u, v) = c\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ and $I(a, b) = [\min(a, b), \max(a, b)]$. The function ext is defined by

$$\text{ext}_{u \in I(a,b)} = \max_{u \in I(a,b)} \quad \text{if } a \leq b, \quad (40)$$

$$\text{ext}_{u \in I(a,b)} = \min_{u \in I(a,b)} \quad \text{if } b < a. \quad (41)$$

Note that in general the operations of taking max and min do not commute and the Godunov flux is not always uniquely defined. But for many cases, including our $H(u, v)$, the flux is uniquely defined. Use of a third-order ENO interpolation and the exact Riemann solver results in the excellent resolution of the discontinuities in the solution of the phase.

For solving the transport equations a first order upwind scheme is used. In principle various sophisticated schemes developed for nonlinear conservation laws could be used to solve the transport equations. For a qualitative understanding of the solutions and showing the applicability of the approach a first-order upwind method is sufficient. The velocity vector field $\nabla\phi/|\nabla\phi|$ was calculated using centered differences,

$$\nabla\phi_{ij} = (D_x^0\phi_{ij}, D_y^0\phi_{ij}) \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|_{i,j}} = \frac{\nabla\phi_{ij}}{|\nabla\phi_{ij}|}. \quad (43)$$

Once the velocity field is calculated a conservative scheme is used to calculate w_0^{n+1} ,

$$w_0^{n+1} = w_0^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (\hat{f}x_{i+1/2,j} - \hat{f}x_{i-1/2,j}) + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} (\hat{f}y_{i,j+1/2} - \hat{f}y_{i,j-1/2}), \quad (44)$$

where $\hat{f}x$ and $\hat{f}y$ are the numerical fluxes calculated based on a first-order upwind method. If we define $(\hat{n}_x, \hat{n}_y) = (\nabla\phi/|\nabla\phi|_{i+1,j} + \nabla\phi/|\nabla\phi|_{i,j})/2$, then the flux function in the x direction, $\hat{f}x$, is defined as

$$\hat{f}x_{i+1/2,j} = c_{i+1,j}w_{0,i+1,j}\hat{n}_x \quad \text{if } \hat{n}_x > 0 \quad (45)$$

$$\hat{f}x_{i+1/2,j} = c_{i,j}w_{0,i,j}\hat{n}_x \quad \text{if } \hat{n}_x < 0. \quad (46)$$

A similar definition is used for calculating the flux function $\hat{f}y$. For solving w_1 we used the same algorithm as above for calculating the divergence term. To calculate the forcing term, $\Delta v_0 - v_u/c^2$, first v_0 and v_1 have to be calculated. v_0 and v_1 were calculated using the numerical Hamiltonian \hat{G} which is equivalent to the numerical ϕ_t ,

$$v_{i,j} = c_{ij} \sqrt{w_{ij}/\hat{G}_{ij}}. \quad (47)$$

The term $v_{0,u}$ was calculated using a centered discretization in time:

$$v_{0,u}^n = \frac{v_{0,ij}^{n+1} - 2v_{0,ij}^n + v_{0,ij}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t^2}. \quad (48)$$

Note that the previous values of w_0 have to be stored in order to calculate the time derivative of v_0 .

6. OTHER METHODS FOR EIKONAL EQUATION

The eikonal equation can be solved using ray tracing method which in this context is the method of characteristics for solving nonlinear PDEs. To solve the steady state eikonal equation written in the form

$$\sqrt{\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2} = n(x, y), \quad n(x, y) = 1/c(x, y), \quad (49)$$

new independent variables (p, q) are defined as usual as $(p, q) = (\phi_x, \phi_y)$. A ray parameterized by s , $(x(s), y(s), p(s), q(s))$, is defined as the solution to the following ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} dx/ds &= p/\sqrt{p^2 + q^2} \\ dy/ds &= q/\sqrt{p^2 + q^2} \\ dp/ds &= n_x \\ dq/ds &= n_y \\ d\phi/ds &= n. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The rays could be found through a shooting method which is equivalent to solving the above system of ODEs by specifying a starting point, $(x(0), y(0))$, and a starting direction, $(p(0), q(0))$. The rays could also be found through solving the following equivalent system as a boundary value problem to find a ray connecting two points:

$$\begin{aligned} d/ds(n dx/ds) &= n_x \\ d/ds(n dy/ds) &= n_y \\ d\phi/ds &= n. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In practice many rays need to be calculated and computationally it is not very efficient. If $n(x, y)$ changes strongly, then there are many rays connecting two points and in general there is no guarantee to find the minimum ray. In fact a solution to the eikonal equation obtained through ray tracing is a mixture of different pieces of the multivalued solution. In general rays automatically do not penetrate shadow regions and special procedures are devised to penetrate them.

Independent of our work finite difference methods have been developed for solving the eikonal equation by Harabetian [4], Vidale [16, 17], and Van Trier and Symes [15]. Podvin and

Lecomte have generated multivalued solutions of the eikonal equation using ideas similar to ours [13]; also see [12]. Harabetian uses a second-order upwind method to solve a similar Hamilton–Jacobi equation [4]. He has applied his method to a problem of reflection of a plane wave from a corner by solving the eikonal equation (unpublished). His methods are closely related to our discretization. The finite difference method of Vidale is essentially based on the observation that the eikonal equation can be reformulated as

$$\nabla\phi \cdot \frac{\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|} = n. \quad (52)$$

If we consider a fitted coordinate system (s, τ) , such that s is in the direction of the gradient of the phase and τ is perpendicular to it; then the above equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{d\phi}{ds} = n. \quad (53)$$

One can extend the value of ϕ in the direction of s using a simple finite differencing of the form

$$\phi(s + \Delta s) = \phi(s) + n(x(s), y(s)) \Delta s. \quad (54)$$

The method of Vidale corresponds to writing the eikonal equation in the form

$$\phi_s^2 = \sqrt{n^2(x, y) - \phi_\tau^2}. \quad (55)$$

In this method the data are on a cartesian grid and the directions of s and τ do not correspond to the x or y coordinates. To derive the finite differences s is chosen to be in the x , y , or diagonal direction. This method relies on a not very accurate step of deciding the direction of the front. This step could fail especially when fronts are approaching each other. There is also possibility of the term inside the square root becoming negative. All the above considerations could lead into numerical instabilities.

The method of Symes and Van Trier corresponds to writing the eikonal equation in polar coordinates,

$$\phi_r^2 + \phi_\theta^2/r^2 = n^2, \quad (56)$$

and using the r coordinate as the direction of propagation,

$$\phi_r = \sqrt{n^2 - \phi_\theta^2/r^2}. \quad (57)$$

The above nonlinear equation is then reduced to a conservation law for the variable $u = \phi_\theta$,

$$u_r = (\sqrt{n^2 - u^2})_\theta. \quad (58)$$

the nonlinear conservation law is solved using a first-order Engquist–Osher method. This method is closer to our method, but still suffers from choosing a coordinate system fitted to the front and all the problems associated with it.

7. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section we discuss several examples computed using the above algorithm. All the examples are done using time marching and the solution is stopped at a specific time. There are no transients in the time dependent marching. The steady state solution essentially propagates from the boundary to the inside of the domain. The time necessary to calculate the solution is the time for the solution to reach the farthest point from the boundary data.

We consider reflection of an incident wave off a cylinder. We consider a cylinder of radius one at the origin. The source of the wave is at point $(3.5, 0)$. We use polar coordinates and the eikonal equation in (r, θ) space is written as

$$\phi_r = \sqrt{\phi_r^2 + \phi_\theta^2/r^2}. \quad (59)$$

We denote the incident wave by ϕ_1 and the reflected wave by ϕ_2 . The physical problem is posed in the entire R^2 but our computational domain is $[1, 6] \times [-\pi, \pi]$. The boundary conditions for ϕ_1 are specified as the following. We specify a time harmonic source at point $(3.5, 0)$, which is translated into the boundary condition for the phase,

$$\phi_1(3.5, 0) = tH(t). \quad (60)$$

The boundary conditions are based on the local direction of the characteristics. If the characteristic line is pointed to the outside of the domain, there is no need for boundary conditions. But if the characteristic line is directed to the inside, we use $\hat{G} = 0$. The direction of the characteristic line is simply determined based on the sign of the normal derivative of the phase. In (r, θ) coordinates, the normal derivatives are simply $d\phi/dr$ and $d\phi/d\theta$. The boundary conditions for the reflected wave, ϕ_2 , are specified as the following. For $r = 6$, $\theta = \pi$, and $\theta = -\pi$ the boundary conditions are based on the local direction of the characteristic line. The phase of the reflected wave at the surface of the cylinder is equal to the incident wave and therefore at $r = 1$ we specify the boundary condition for ϕ_2 according to

$$\phi_2(1, \theta) = \phi_1(1, \theta). \quad (61)$$

The problem is solved numerically in (r, θ) space and the results are interpolated to a Cartesian grid. A contour plot of the calculated phase of the incident wave is shown in Fig. 4. One can see that in the incident wave we calculate the phase even in the shadow region behind the cylinder. In the shadow region




FIG. 4. Contour plot of the phase of the incident wave.

the solution is composed of two expansion waves starting at the shadow line. The expansion waves correspond to the grazing waves as described by Keller [5]. A contour plot of the phase of the reflected wave is shown in the next figure. The reflected wave also creates two expansion waves.

In a nonuniform media the solution of the eikonal equation could develop singularities. These singularities are generated when two wave fronts approach each other. The viscosity solution of the eikonal equation represents only one sheet of the solution and the hidden solutions are ignored. The branch surface of the solution is nothing but the surface of the discontinuity in the gradient of the phase. We consider the eikonal equation in the plane $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. We denote by ϕ_1 the original solution and ϕ_2 as the hidden solution. Two time harmonic




FIG. 5. Contour plot of the phase of the reflected wave.




FIG. 6. Interacting waves.

sources are considered which are implemented by the following boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(-0.5, 0) &= (t - 0.25)H(t - 0.25), \\ \phi_1(0.5, 0) &= tH(t). \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

The solution of the initial-boundary value problem is unique up to time $t = 0.625$. After that the solution is double valued. The second solution is connected through a branch curve on the shock. The position of the shock is simply the curve defined by $3y^2 + 1/2y - x^2 - 15/64 = 0$. We employ a general shock detection algorithm to detect the position of the branch curve. Once the position is found we use the values of ϕ_1 as boundary condition for ϕ_2 . The position of the shock is detected based on the local sign of the derivative of the phase. At each point, ϕ_{ij} , there are three directions to be checked, x , y , and $x - y$ direction. The local forward and backward differences of ϕ_{ij} are calculated in each direction. A shock is detected if in any of the three directions the forward difference is positive and the backward difference is negative. The points $\phi_{1,ij}$ that are detected as a shock are used as boundary points for ϕ_2 ,

$$\phi_{2,ij} = \phi_{1,ij}.$$

This procedure enables us to calculate the hidden solution.

In the next example we compute the solution of the eikonal equation for the shadow of a corner as illuminated by a plane




FIG. 7. Recovered waves.




FIG. 8. Phase of a plane wave approaching a corner, first sheet.




FIG. 9. Phase of a plane wave approaching a corner, second sheet.

wave. In (x, y) space we consider the square $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$, excluding the fourth quadrant. The boundary conditions are specified at $y = -1$,

$$\phi(x, -1, t) = tH(t) \quad \text{for } x < 0. \quad (63)$$

For the remaining sides of the square and the sides of the obstacle we specify the boundary conditions based on the direction of the characteristic lines as was described before. The explicit solution in the second and third quadrants simply is a plane wave traveling in the y direction,

$$\phi(x, y, t) = (t - y - 1)H(t). \quad (64)$$

In the first quadrant it is a cylindrical wave originating from the corner of the obstacle,

$$\phi(x, y, t) = (t - 1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})H(t - 1). \quad (65)$$

The contour plot of the calculated phase is shown in Fig. 8. The complete solution of the above problem is double-valued. The second branch is a cylindrical wave originating from the corner, shown in Fig. 9.

In the next experiment we consider the eikonal equation in a non-homogeneous medium. We compute distortion of a plane wave as it goes through a concave lens and then a convex lens. Our computational domain in (x, y) space is again the square $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. A convex lens is defined by defining the speed of the wave, $c(x, y)$, as

$$c(x, y) = 1 \quad \text{if } d > 1 \quad (66)$$

$$c(x, y) = 1/2 + 1/2(1/2 - 1/2 \cos(\pi d)) \quad \text{if } d < 1; \quad (67)$$

d is defined as $d = (x/0.8)^2 + (y/0.3)^2$. Note that $c(x, y)$ is smooth up to the first derivative. We define a concave lens by

defining $c(x, y)$ to be

$$c(x, y) = 1 \quad \text{if } D > 1 \quad (68)$$

$$c(x, y) = 1/2 + 1/2(1/2 - 1/2 \cos(\pi x/s(y))) \quad \text{if } D < 1. \quad (69)$$

D is defined as $D = -(x/0.8)^2 + (y/0.3)^2$ and $s(y) = 0.3\sqrt{1 + (y/0.3)^2}$. For boundary conditions we specify the phase at the side $y = -1$,

$$\phi(x, -1, t) = tH(t). \quad (70)$$

The contour plot of the phase as it goes through the concave lens is shown in Fig. 10. As expected the plane wave bends outward. The first term in the expansion of the amplitude, v_0 , is calculated and is shown in Fig. 11. The amplitude is calculated




FIG. 10. Contour plot of the phase for a concave lens.




FIG. 11. Amplitude, v_0 , for a concave lens.




FIG. 13. Amplitude, v_0 , for a convex lens.

with the numerical algorithm for the conservative variables and then is transformed to obtain v_0 .

The contour plot of the phase for the convex lens is shown in Fig. 12. The convex lens bends the plane wave towards the y axis and the phase becomes non-unique there. Our numerical solution shows the viscosity solution of ϕ and a shock is formed on the y axis starting at the focal point. The amplitude of the wave, v_0 , is shown in Fig. 13. The calculated v_0 is smooth and valid up to the focal point only, and it is not valid after the focal point. We are in principle able to calculate the hidden solution using the special algorithm that was described before.

8. CONCLUSION

A general procedure is developed to solve the partial differential equations defining the coefficients of the classical high frequency asymptotic expansion of the scalar wave equation.

High order Godunov-ENO schemes are used to solve the eikonal equation for the phase of the solution. The expansion coefficients for the amplitude of the solution are recast in conservative variables and upwind finite difference methods are developed to solve the resulting hyperbolic equations. The algorithm can accurately represent the discontinuities in the coefficient.

A new numerical procedure is devised to calculate multivalued solutions of the eikonal equation that are not known *a priori* to be multivalued. The procedure relies on the realization that a shock type discontinuity in the gradient of the phase is a branch surface and using the continuity of the phase across the branch surface to define the boundary conditions for the hidden solution. A multivalued phase has a multivalued expansion for the amplitude coefficients as well. The necessary conditions for connecting the multivalued expansions of the amplitude across the branch surfaces can be obtained from the boundary conditions for the solution of the Helmholtz equation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Harabetian, J. B. Keller, and an anonymous referee for very helpful comments on the first draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. G. Avila and J. B. Keller, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **16** (1963), 363–381.
2. M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, 6th ed. (Pergamon, Elmsford, NY, 1989).
3. M. Crandall and P. Lions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **277** (1983), 1–42.
4. E. Harabetian, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **337**(1), 59 (1993).
5. J. B. Keller, *Calculus of Variations and Its Applications* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958), p. 27.
6. J. B. Keller, R. Lewis, and B. Seckler, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **9** 207 (1965).
7. S. N. Kružkov, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **27**, 406 (1975).




FIG. 12. Contour plot of the phase for a convex lens.

8. P. D. Lax, *Duke Math. J.* **24**, 627 (1957).
9. D. Ludwig, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **19**, 215 (1966).
10. R. Luneburg, *Mathematical Theory of Optics* (Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, 1964).
11. C. Morawetz and D. Ludwig, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **21**, 187 (1968).
12. T. J. Moser, *Geophysics* **56**, 59 (1991).
13. P. Podvin and I. Lecomte, *Geophys. J. Int.* **105**, 271 (1991).
14. S. Osher and C-W Shu, *SIAM J. Numer. Analy.* **28**, 907 (1991).
15. J. Van Trier and W. W. Symes, *Geophysics* **56**, 478 (1991).
16. J. Vidale, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Amer.* **78**, 2062.
17. J. Vidale, Finite difference calculation of traveltimes in three dimensions, *Geophysics* **55**, 521 (1990).